

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated May 30, 2007. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application after this Amendment.

Claims 2-8 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicant incorporated limitation of the allowable claim 2 into claim 1, thus rendering claim 1 allowable. Claim 3 has been amended to recite dependency from claim 1. Claims 3 and 4-8 depending on Claim 1 are allowable at least for the same reasons as Claim 1 and, further, on their own merits.


Applicant has introduced new claims 10-12. New claim 10 includes limitations of the original Claim 1 together with limitations of the allowable Claim 6. Accordingly Claim 10 is allowable. Claim 11 depending on Claim 10 is allowable at least for the same reasons as Claim 1 and, further, on its own merits.

Further, new Claim 12 includes limitations of the original Claim 1 together with limitations of the allowable Claim 8. Accordingly Claim 12 is also allowable. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that all of the remaining claims in the application are clearly directed to patentable subject matter and formal allowance thereof is requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application and allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON AUGUST 23, 2007

Respectfully submitted,


MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700